

Sun 9/10/2011

To whom it may concern,

As an AACMA member, I believe the grandparenting standard should not increase unnecessary burden for the legitimate practitioners to continue to practise. I have the following concerning about the draft registration standards:

For the Draft standard on English language skills, the following evidence should be enough:

1. statement as to whether the practitioner conducts their Chinese medicine practice primarily in English;
2. evidence of academic/professional engagement where the language of communication is English

For the Members with pre-2008 qualifications

1. Australian-trained or oversea-trained practitioners applying for registration under the national scheme should not be put to a higher grandparenting standard than applied under the Victorian scheme;
2. All government/university-accredited qualifications at least three years full-time equivalent duration should be included on the list of courses deemed adequate for grandparenting, and that this include the past advanced diploma and diploma level programs (or tcourses the oversea courses comparable to that);
3. Unaccredited programs that were recognised by AACMA as meeting the requirements for practice at that time should also be included on the list of courses deemed adequate for grandparenting, and that this include the past practitioner diploma and unaccredited bachelor programs;
4. The list submitted by the AACMA of Australian Chinese medicine programs deemed adequate for grandparenting purposes should be included on the CMBA list of courses deemed adequate for grandparenting purposes.

Thanks a lot for your time.

Best regards

Jingquan Cao